



e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2320-6710

IJIRSET

International Journal of Innovative Research in
SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

Volume 10, Issue 2, February 2021

ISSN INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA

Impact Factor: 7.512

9940 572 462

6381 907 438

ijirset@gmail.com

www.ijirset.com



A Review on Separation of Phenol Pollutant in Waste Water by Membrane Separation Process

P. Maheswari^{1*} J. Krishnamurthi

Assistant Professor, Department of Chemistry, SRM Valliammai Engineering College, Kattankulathur, India

* Corresponding Author

ABSTRACT: Phenolic compounds are high priority pollutants with high harmfulness even at low focuses. More elevated level of phenol spillage inside the climate can really influence the entire biological system. A toxicological property of phenol has been contributed in the development of natural and free radical species and furthermore because of its hydrophobicity. The design of phenol itself shows its reactivity which lead to its properties like constancy in climate, toxicity and the prospects of cancer-causing properties toward living creature. These are significant industrial waste it debases ground water assets. Accordingly, the evacuation of these organic compounds to arrive at the allowed levels prior to releasing turns into a difficult. A few cycles have been created to eliminate phenolic compounds from waters, including electrochemical oxidation, redox responses, adsorption and photocatalytic debasement. The chemical properties of phenolic compounds are a significant part in the expulsion interaction. Membrane treatment has demonstrated to be the most ideal approach to treat different phenolic compounds. In this review, we are explaining a concise audit the division of phenol by membrane treatment.

KEYWORDS: Phenol, membranes, ultra filtration, nanofiltration, toxicity, separation

I. INTRODUCTION

Natural contamination is an overall issue that is looked by developed and developing nations throughout the year [1]. Phenol is the most used substance with its creation that stretch 6-billion pound around the world. Human exercises are the significant supporter of phenol contamination in the environment [2-4]. Phenolic compounds are available in the effluents of different ventures, for example, oil refining, petrochemicals, drugs, coking activities, tar fabricating, plastics, paint, paper and wood items [5]. The release of these mixtures without treatment may prompt genuine wellbeing dangers to people, animals and oceanic frameworks [6]. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has set a water virtue standard of under 1 ppb for phenol in surface water [7]. Phenol is a monosubstituted aromatic hydrocarbon. It otherwise called hydroxybenzene, comprises of the appended hydroxyl gathering to aromatic hydrocarbon gathering. Phenol is created through both common and anthropogenic cycles. It is normally happening in certain food sources, inhuman and creature squanders, and in breaking down natural material, and it is delivered endogenously in the gut from the digestion of aromatic amino acids.

Right now, the biggest utilization of phenol is as middle in the creation of phenolic resins, which are utilized in the compressed wood, adhesive, construction, automotive and appliance industries. Phenol is poisonous to microscopic organisms and parasites, and it is utilized as a disinfectant. Due to its sedative impacts, phenol is utilized in medicines for example ointments, ear and nose drops, mouth blister moisturizers, throat capsules and showers and germfree creams. Phenol industrially accessible is in dreary, needle-like translucent substances that effectively break down in water and furthermore natural solvents, for example, liquor, glycerol and petrol. Phenol is straightforward and can without much of a stretch blend in with water at room temperature. It has a sweet smell and can be unstable when warmed [8]. Artificially, phenol can produce through the oxidation interaction of toluene, and the warming of monochlorobenzene along with sodium hydroxide [9]. The phenolic compounds such as chloro phenols, methyl phenols, and alkyl phenols that are more harmful, more hard-headed, and industriousness than phenol. Membrane advances are solid and monetarily attainable to treat phenol and have numerous focal points, for example, low force utilization, excellent gushing, little impression and simple scaling up with membrane modules. Notwithstanding, thought should be given to membrane fouling which can happen because of particles and colloids present in the feed streams [10]. The main membrane advances used to eliminate phenols from waste water are extractive membrane



bioreactors, photocatalytic membrane reactors, nanofiltration, ultrafiltration, reverse osmosis and pervaporation [11-17]. In this present review paper, we discussed about the membrane process effectively applied to remove phenol from waste water.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Pervaporation (PV) has stood out as an elective method for expulsion of low unpredictability organics from wastewater. The trademark highlights of PV incorporate negligible energy utilization, no auxiliary defilement, high proficiency, and simple activity. Gupta et.al [18] studied the polyurethane urea as pervaporation layer for the specific evacuation of phenol. They responded the Hydroxyterminated polybutadiene (HTPB) with 2,4-toluene diisocyanate (TDI) trailed by the expansion of a diamine chain extender (arranged by the buildup response of 4,4'-diaminodiphenylsulfone and terephthalaldehyde) to set up an imine containing polyurethane urea (PIUU). About 88 percent phenol was separated as a consolidated pervasive. Praveen and Loh examined expulsion of phenol from wastewater by hollow fiber membrane impregnated with trioctylphosphine oxide (TOPO), which was immobilized in the hollow fiber membrane for evacuation of phenol. It is important to eliminate the photocatalyst particles from treated water after degradation. Vaiano et al. [19] examined the corruption of natural matter present in tannery wastewater by The removal of natural organic matter present in tannery waste water by incorporation of undoped commercial TiO₂ catalyst, Degussa P25, and N-doped titania nanoparticles under UV or visible light irradiation, respectively. It was seen that P25 had been just dynamic within the sight of UV light while the N-doped titania was intense in treating tannery wastewater within the sight of obvious light transmitted by LEDs. RO is a film based demineralization strategy that is utilized to isolate disintegrated solids, particularly particles, generally from watery arrangements while NF is broadly utilized for eliminating natural poisons, inorganic salts, colour, and hardness from fluid arrangements. Khazaali et al. [20] examined Bisphenol A (BPA) expulsion from fluid arrangements utilizing a low-pressure RO framework, an improvement over ordinary RO, devouring less energy, having a lower pressure prerequisite, great dismissal, and higher water motion. Loh et al. arranged composite hollow fiber membranes with various degrees of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) interruption by covering a layer of PDMS on a polyetherimide (PEI) hollow fiber substrate for phenol expulsion [21].

Sun et al. examined pretreatment by microfiltration (MF) or ultrafiltration (UF) films before NF or RO frameworks to try not to foul of membranes. NF is reasonable to use before an RO unit to diminish RO pressures related to natural matter [22]. Mnif et al [23] contemplated expulsion and adsorption of phenol from watery arrangements utilizing a polyamide thin film composite RO membrane. The impacts of boundaries, for example, feed focus, ionic strength, transmembrane pressing factor, and recuperation on the end of phenol were considered. Kumar et al. examined the phenol expulsion from coke-oven wastewater by cross-stream nanofiltration membranes. It was inferred that nanofiltration had improved productivity in phenol expulsion from mechanical wastewater [24]. Bódalo et.al [25] have studied the expulsion of phenol with three reverse osmosis membranes, the dismissal rates acquired were exceptionally low (40%), other than this boundary increments when the working pressure diminishes. He completed of phenol expulsion from watery arrangements by nanofiltration utilizing diverse exploratory conditions. He indicated the best outcomes are gotten with DSS-HR98PP membrane expanding the dismissal rate up to 80%. Mohammadi et al. have contemplated phenolic wastewater treatment by vacuum film refining (VMD) utilizing the Taguchi streamlining approach. A polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) film with a pore size of 0.22 μm was utilized for the cycle. Layer fouling and pore wetting are the significant disadvantages of the MD cycle [26]. Diaconu et. al has done research on utilization of film methods for phenol separation [27]. They introduced a partial investigation of some phenolic intensifies as often as possible experienced in the climate: m-nitrophenol, p-nitrophenol, m-cresol, p-cresol, utilizing the mass fluid layer procedure. They utilized chloroform as the natural dissolvable for the layer and contemplated the operational boundaries of the vehicle and furthermore settled the ideal partition conditions (the feed stage pH, the accepting stage pH, the time-frame of the vehicle). The best vehicle efficiencies were gotten for m-nitrophenol and p-nitrophenol. The vehicle efficiencies on account of these phenolic subsidiaries were 92% for nitrophenol and 98% for p-nitrophenol.

Alexander Mixa and Claudia Staudt [28] have examined the membrane based division of phenol/water combinations with concentrations of phenol (3 wt% and 8 wt%) in the feed has been performed with unmodified ethylene-methacrylic corrosive (E-MAA) copolymers with various measures of methacrylic corrosive. As cross-connecting specialists, aluminum acetyl acetonate, which prompts ionically cross-connected layers, and 2,3,5,6-tetramethyl-1,4-phenylene diamine and glycerine diglycidether, prompting covalently cross-connected films, have been utilized. Cross-connecting by and large prompts higher detachment factors joined with lower transitions

because of the decreased versatility of the polymer spine. This impact is more grounded for the copolymer having the lower methacrylic corrosive substance. They presumed that the cross-connected layers indicated that with high phenol focus in the feed, ionic cross-connecting is by all accounts exceptionally encouraging.

Nadjib Benosmane has examined the expulsion of phenol from fluid arrangement across the polymer consideration layer (PIM), in light of combination of cellulose triacetate and cellulose acetic acid derivation as help (75/25%), calix[4]resorcinarene subsidiary as a transporter and 2-nitrophenyl octyl ether (2-NPOE) as plasticizer was researched. He was inferred that the PIM with a combination of cellulose subsidiaries can be utilized adequately to eliminate phenols from wastewaters [29]. The strategy was straightforward, simple and furthermore quickly applied for wastewater test. The outcomes show that the PIM with the combination of CTA and CA has the high insightful potential for eliminating phenol from water tests.

Ayça Hasanoğlu [30] has examined that expulsion of phenol from wastewaters was assessed utilizing diverse extraction setups of hollow fiber membrane contactors including gas-filled layer, dissolvable extraction and emulsion measures to assess feasibility of emulsion fluid membrane applications. Emulsion liquid membrane (ELM) applications are discovered to be the most encouraging interaction contrasted with gas film and dissolvable extraction measures regarding recuperation and evacuation of the phenol. The analyses indicated that emulsion arrangements could be set up as NaOH-rich answers for upgrade the recuperation. ELM measure is invaluable as far as interaction escalation since it makes conceivable to decrease the measure of supplies, for example, extra membrane contactors, funneling, and vessel frameworks. By consolidating a few activities as extraction, stripping and response in one unit, it diminishes hardware expenses and dissolvable utilization.

III. CONCLUSION

The broad examination is completed on expulsion of phenol from water. Membrane process is broadly applied to isolate phenol from squander water because of its cost effective and reliable, small foot print and versatile. Recent progresses in Membrane separation innovation can help to upgrade the treatment of phenolic compounds-debased wastewaters, making zero fluid release (ZLD) or least fluid release (MLD) alternatives more practical. These days, The liquid membranes, for example, bulk liquid membranes (BLM), supported liquid membranes (SLM) and emulsion liquid membranes (ELM) is an elective innovation, in light of dissolvable extraction to recuperate or eliminate low centralization of phenolic compounds.

REFERENCES

- [1] Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), Toxicological profile for Phenol, Atlante, GA: US, Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, 2006.
- [2] G. Busca, S. Berardinelli, C. Resini, L. Arrighi, Technologies for the removal of phenol from fluid streams: a short review of recent developments, *J. Hazard. Mater.* 160 (2008) 265–288.
- [3] S.P. Mahajan, *Pollution Control in Process Industries*, Tata McGraw-Hill, 1985.
- [4] M.J. González-Muñoz, S. Luque, J.R. Álvarez, J. Coca, Recovery of phenol from aqueous solutions using hollow fibre contactors, *J. Membr. Sci.* 213 (2003) 181–193.
- [5] R. Basu, R. Prasad, K.K. Sirkar, Nondispersive membrane solvent back extraction of phenol, *AIChE J.* 36 (3) (1990) 450–460.
- [6] A. Nanoti, S.K. Ganguly, A.N. Goswami, B.S. Rawat, Removal of phenols from wastewater using liquid membranes in a microporous hollow-fiber membrane extractor, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 36 (1997) 4369–4373.
- [7] W. Cichy, J. Szymanowski, Recovery of phenol from aqueous streams in hollow fiber modules, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 213 (2002) 2088–2093.
- [8] M.C. Figueroa, M.E. Weber, Aqueous micellar solvent extraction of phenol from wastewater, *Sep. Sci. Technol.* 40 (2005) 1653–1672.
- [9] C. Mahugo-Santana, Z. Sosa Ferrera, E.M. Torres Padrón, J.J. Santana Rodríguez Methodologies for the extraction of phenolic compounds from environmental samples: new approaches. *Molecules.* 2009;14(1): 298–320.
- [10] A. Santos, P. Yustos, B. Durban, F. Garcia-Ochoa Catalytic wet oxidation of phenol: kinetics of the mineralization rate. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research.* 2001;40(13):2773–2781.
- [11] V. Coulter *Advanced Organic Chemistry*. 1st ed. Chandni Chowk, Delhi: Global Media. 2009



- [12] LGC Villegas, N. Mashhadi, M. Chen, D. Mukherjee, K.E Taylor, N.A Biswas. Short review of techniques for phenol removal from wastewater. *Current Pollution Reports*. 2016;1–11.
- [13] M. De la Luz-Asunción, V. Sánchez-Mendieta, A.L Martínez-Hernández, V.M Castaño, C. Velasco-Santos. Adsorption of phenol from aqueous solutions by carbon nanomaterials of one and two dimensions: kinetic and equilibrium studies. *Journal of Nanomaterials*. 2015;2015:1–15.
- [14] S. Mohammadi, A. Kargari, H. Sanaeepur, K. Abbassian, A. Najafi, E. Mofarrah, Phenol removal from industrial wastewaters: a short review. *Desalination and Water Treatment*. 2015;53(8):2215–2234.
- [15] Madani M, Aliabad, M, Nasernejad B, Kermanj Abdulrahman R, Yalili Kilic M, Kestioglu K. Treatment of olive mill wastewater using physico-chemical and Fenton processes. *Desalination and Water Treatment*. 2015;53(8):2031–2040. doi:10.1080/19443994.2013.860882.
- [16] J.B Baruah. *Chemistry of phenolic compounds: State of the art*. New York: Nova Science Publishers, Inc. 2011.
- [17] S. Munirasu, M.A Haija, F. Banat Use of membrane technology for oil field and refinery produced water treatment—a review. *Process Safety Environmental Protection*. 2016;100:182–202.
- [18] U. K Ghosh and L. Teen “Application of Modified Maxwell-Stefan Equation for Separation of Aqueous Phenol by Pervaporation”, *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, International Journal of Chemical, Materials Science and Engineering Vol:6 No:6*, 2012
- [19] V. Vaiano, M Matarangolo, JJ Murcia, H Rojas, JA Navío, MC Hidalgo, Enhanced photocatalytic removal of phenol from aqueous solutions using ZnO modified with Ag, *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental* 225, 197-206.
- [20] F. Khazaali, A. Kargari, M. Rokhsaran Application of low-pressure reverse osmosis for effective recovery of bisphenol A from aqueous wastes, 2013, Pages 7543-7551.
- [21] F. Luo, G. M. Zeng, J. H. Huang, C. Zhang, Y. Y. Fang, Y. H. Qu, X. Li, D. Lin, C. F. Zhou, Effect of groups difference in surfactant on solubilization of aqueous phenol using MEUF. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2010, 173, 455-461.
- [22] X. Sun, C. Wang, Y. Li, W. Wang, J. Wei, Treatment of phenolic wastewater by combined UF and NF/RO processes. *Desalination*. 2015, 355, 68-74.
- [23] A. Mnif, D. Tabassi, M. Ben Sik Ali, B. Hamrouni, Phenol removal from water by AG reverse osmosis membrane, *Environmental progress and Sustainable energy*, 34, 2015, 982-989.
- [24] R. Kumar, P. Pal, Removal of Phenol from Coke-Oven Wastewater by Cross-Flow Nanofiltration Membranes, *water environment research*, 85, 2013, 447-455.
- [25] A. Bódalo M. Gómez G. León A. M. Hidalgo Phenol removal from water by hybrid processes: study of the membrane process step, *Desalination*, 223, 2008, 323-329
- [26] T. Mohammadi, P. Kazemi Taguchi optimization approach for phenolic wastewater treatment by vacuum membrane distillation. *Desalin Water Treat.* 2014;52:1341–9
- [27] I. Diaconu, G. Nechifor, A. C. Nechifor, E. Ruse, E. Eftimie Totu, Membranary techniques used at the separation of some phenolic compounds from aqueous media” *U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series B*, Vol. 71, Iss. 4, 2009.
- [28] A. Mixa and C. Staud Membrane-Based Separation of Phenol/Water Mixtures Using Ionically and Covalently Cross-Linked Ethylene-Methacrylic Acid Copolymers” *International Journal of Chemical Engineering*, Volume 2008 (2008), Article ID 319392, 12 pages
- [29] N. Benosmane, B. Boutemour, S. M. Hamdi and M. Hamdi, Removal of phenol from aqueous solution using polymer inclusion membrane based on mixture of CTA and CA, *Applied Water Science* volume 8, Article number: 17 (2018).
- [30] A. Hasanoğlu, Removal of phenol from wastewaters using membrane contactors: Comparative experimental analysis of emulsion pertraction, *Desalination* 309, 2013, 171-180.



**Impact Factor:
7.512**



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

 9940 572 462  6381 907 438  ijirset@gmail.com



www.ijirset.com

Scan to save the contact details